

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

ADJUSTACAM LLC

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC.; ET AL.

NO. 6:10-cv-329-LED

JURY

**PLAINTIFF'S ANSWER TO MACALLY'S COUNTERCLAIMS**

Plaintiff AdjustaCam LLC (“AdjustaCam”) answers the counterclaims (Dkt No. 290) of Macally Peripherals, Inc. d/b/a Macally U.S.A. (“Macally”), by corresponding paragraph number, as follows:

1-2. Admitted.

3. Admitted as to subject matter jurisdiction and venue over counterclaims. Denied as to merits of counterclaims.

4-5. Admitted.

6. Denied.

7. Admitted there is a legal case or controversy for purposes of subject matter jurisdiction over counterclaims. Denied as to merits of counterclaims.

8. AdjustaCam’s answers to paragraphs 1-7 are incorporated herein.

9. Admitted there is a legal case or controversy for purposes of subject matter jurisdiction over counterclaims. Denied as to merits of counterclaims.

10-11. Denied.

12. Denied this is an exceptional case from the perspective of Macally being entitled to any such finding. Admitted that this is an exceptional case from the perspective of AdjustaCam obtaining such a finding against Macally.

13. AdjustaCam's answers to paragraphs 1-12 are incorporated herein.
14. Admitted there is a legal case or controversy for purposes of subject matter jurisdiction over counterclaims. Denied as to merits of counterclaims.
- 15-16. Denied.
17. Denied this is an exceptional case from the perspective of Macally being entitled to any such finding. Admitted that this is an exceptional case from the perspective of AdjustaCam obtaining such a finding against Macally.
18. To the extent necessary, AdjustaCam denies that Macally is entitled to the relief requested in its prayer for relief. In addition, to the extent necessary, AdjustaCam generally denies any allegation in the counterclaims not specifically admitted above, and AdjustaCam re-alleges infringement, enforceability, validity and damages, and denies any allegations in the counterclaim adverse to same.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, AdjustaCam respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment denying and dismissing Macally's counterclaims, and that the Court enter judgment in favor of AdjustaCam as requested in AdjustaCam's complaint, as amended or supplemented.

November 1, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

ADJUSTACAM LLC

By: /s/ John J. Edmonds  
John J. Edmonds – LEAD COUNSEL  
Texas Bar No. 789758  
Michael J. Collins  
Texas Bar No. 4614510  
Erick Robinson  
Texas Bar No. 24039142  
COLLINS, EDMONDS & POGORZELSKI, PLLC  
1616 S. Voss Road, Suite 125  
Houston, Texas 77057  
Telephone: (281) 501-3425

Facsimile: (832) 415-2535  
jedmonds@cepiplaw.com  
mcollins@cepiplaw.com  
erobinson@cepiplaw.com

Andrew W. Spangler  
Texas Bar No. 24041960  
Spangler Law P.C.  
208 N. Green Street, Suite 300  
Longview, Texas 75601  
(903) 753-9300  
(903) 553-0403 (fax)  
spangler@spanglerlawpc.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF  
ADJUSTACAM LLC

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

November 1, 2010

/s/ John J. Edmonds  
John J. Edmonds